

1 Consequence relations

background-logic: classical propositional (predicate) logic (\mathcal{L})

Consequence relation is a set of pairs $\langle \Gamma, \Delta \rangle$ where Γ and Δ are sets of formulas (Γ is a set of premises).

$\langle \Gamma, \{\varphi\} \rangle$ where φ is a formula

- *syntactical* consequence relation ($\Gamma \vdash \varphi$)

$$\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n = \varphi$$

- $\psi_i \in \Gamma$
- $\psi_i \in \mathcal{L}$
- ψ_i is a result of an application of a rule to some ψ_k, \dots, ψ_l (where $k, \dots, l \leq i$)

- *semantical* consequence relation ($\Gamma \models \varphi$)

Completeness theorem: $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models \varphi$

$$Cn\Gamma = \{\varphi : \Gamma \models \varphi\} \text{ OR } \{\varphi : \Gamma \vdash \varphi\}$$

2 Important properties of Cn

- reflexivity

$$\Gamma \subseteq Cn\Gamma$$

- cumulative transitivity (Cut)

$$\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \subseteq Cn\Gamma \Rightarrow Cn\Delta \subseteq Cn\Gamma$$

- monotony

$$\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \Rightarrow Cn\Gamma \subseteq Cn\Delta$$

3 Example of common reasoning

Smith entered the office of his boss.
He was nervous.

1. **typically:** (x enters the office of his boss) \rightsquigarrow (x is nervous)

2. **infer:** *Smith is nervous.* (*)
3. **new info:** After all, he did not want to lose his best employee.
4. **then:** (*) is wrong

4 Where to use nonmonotonic reasoning

- legal reasoning
- diagnosis
- natural language understanding
- intelligent tutoring systems

5 Ways of getting more

1. Add new (believed) assumptions.
2. Add preferential relations among models.
3. Add new rules.

5.1 New assumptions

- Γ — background knowledge
- B — “what is believed”

$$\varphi \in Cn^B \Gamma \text{ iff } \Gamma \cup B \models \varphi$$

(monotonic)

5.2 Default assumptions

Consistency: M is CONS iff $CnM \neq Fla.$

Let $B_1 \subseteq B$. B_1 is MaxCONS wrt Γ iff

1. B_1 is CONS wrt Γ ,
2. $\forall D \subseteq B (B_1 \subset D \Rightarrow D \text{ is not CONS wrt } \Gamma).$

$C^B \Gamma = \cap \{Cn(\Gamma \cup B_1) : B \supseteq B_1 \in \text{MaxCONS wrt } \Gamma\}$

- nonmonotony
- cautious monotony

$$\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \subseteq C^B \Gamma \Rightarrow C^B \Gamma \subseteq C^B \Delta$$

5.3 Preferred models (valuations)

- $v \models \varphi$ iff $v(\varphi) = 1$
- V — set of all valuations
- $\langle V, \prec \rangle$ — \prec is a strict partial ordering on V
- $v \models_{\prec} \varphi$ iff
 1. $v \models \varphi$,
 2. $\forall v_1 (v \prec v_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \not\models \varphi)$.

$$C^{\langle V, \prec \rangle} \Gamma = \{ \varphi : \forall v (v \models_{\prec} \Gamma \Rightarrow v \models \varphi) \}$$

- nonmonotony
- *not* cautious monotony
 - cautious monotony:

$$\Gamma \subseteq \Delta \subseteq C^B \Gamma \Rightarrow C^B \Gamma \subseteq C^B \Delta$$

- *not* consistency preservation
 - consistency preservation:

$$\Gamma \in \text{CONS} \Rightarrow C^B \Gamma \in \text{CONS}$$

5.4 Default rules

$$\frac{\text{goToWork} : \text{useBus}}{\text{useBus}}$$

$$\delta = \frac{\varphi : \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n}{\chi}$$

δ is *applicable* to E ($E = CnE$) iff $\varphi \in E$ and $\neg\psi_i \notin E$ (for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$)

Default theory: $\langle \Gamma, D = \{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots\} \rangle$

Extension (operational definition)

5.4.1 Processes and extensions of a default theory $\langle \Gamma, D \rangle$

E	Π	test 1	Out	test 2
$Cn\Gamma$	δ_0	appl. to E ?	$\neg\psi_i$	$E \cap Out = \emptyset$?
$Cn(\Gamma \cup \{\chi\})$	δ_1
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots

E is *extension* of $\langle \Gamma, D \rangle$ iff

1. all applicable δ 's were applied (process Π is *closed*),
2. $E \cap Out = \emptyset$ (process Π is *successful*).

5.4.2 Examples

1. $\Gamma = \emptyset, D = \{\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{-\alpha}\}$
2. $\Gamma = \{\neg p, q\}, D = \{\frac{q:\neg r}{p}\}$
3. $\Gamma = \emptyset, D = \{\delta_0, \delta_1\}$
 - $\delta_0 = \frac{\dot{p}}{-q}$
 - $\delta_1 = \frac{\dot{q}}{r}$
4. $\Gamma = \{\text{green}, \text{drivLic}\}, D = \{\delta_0, \delta_1\}$
 - $\delta_0 = \frac{\text{green}:\neg\text{likeCar}}{-\text{likeCar}}$
 - $\delta_1 = \frac{\text{drivLic}:\text{likeCar}}{\text{likeCar}}$

5.4.3 Properties

- minimality of extensions

E, F extensions of $\langle \Gamma, D \rangle. E \subseteq F \Rightarrow E = F$

- consistency preservation
- nonmonotony (in both Γ and D)

6 References

1. Antoniou, G. *Nonmonotonic Reasoning*. MIT Press, 1997.
2. Makinson, D. Bridges between Classical and Nonmonotonic Logic. (web)
3. Meyer, J.-J. Ch. and van der Hoek, W. *Epistemic Logic for AI and Computer Science*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
4. Brewka, G. *Nonmonotonic Reasoning*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.